IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY
WRIT PETITION NO : 10124 of 2006

Dated. 18th September, 2006

Between
B.J.Balavendram S/o Sunder Rao

…Petitioner

And

The Chairman, Tungabhadra Board,
Chirag Ali Lane, Abids, Hyderabad
and others.

…

Respondents

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner, who was working as Assistant Engineer in Chintakunta Section of Tungabhadra Dam, has filed this writ petition questioning the order of his transfer dated 17.4.2006.

By the aforementioned proceedings, the petitioner is transferred along with 9 others. He was working at Chinthakunta Section earlier and is now transferred to the Office of Superintending Engineer, I.B. The writ petition is filed mainly on the ground that in view of the medical problem of his wife, petitioner has filed a representation, but without disposing the same, the petitioner has been transferred by the orders impugned herein. At the stage of admission, while issuing notice before admission, this court directed to continue at the old station, if he was not relieved by that time, till his representation dated 23.1.2006.

It is fairly submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that even before the order passed by this court on 24.5.2006, the petitioner was relieved from his old station and joined at the new station and is working at the transferred place now.

A perusal of the impugned order reveals that the transfer is effected purely on administrative grounds, and, there is absolutely no reason to interfere with the order of transfer in this writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. However, it is stated that the representation of the petitioner is still pending consideration. It is open for the respondents to consider the request of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders.

Subject to the above observation, the writ petition is disposed

of. No order as to costs.

_____

18.9.2006 **R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.**

VR